

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
Tel: (914) 749-8200
dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
Erika Nyborg-Burch, CA Bar No. 342125
44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel.: (415) 293-6800
mmao@bsfllp.com
brichardson@bsfllp.com
enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com

James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Rossana Baeza (admitted *pro hac vice*)
100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
Miami, FL 33131
Tel.: (305) 539-8400
jlee@bsfllp.com
rbaeza@bsfllp.com

Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334
725 S Figueroa St., 31st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel.: (213) 995-5270
alanderson@bsfllp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

Bill Carmody (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Alexander Frawley (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Tel.: (212) 336-8330
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
afrawley@susmangodfrey.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 789-3100
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: (813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com

Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
711 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 358-6913
mram@forthepeople.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO
individually and on behalf of all similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED REQUEST TO
DEPOSE GOOGLE CEO SUNDAR
PICHAI**

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen

Pursuant to the Court's orders (Dkt. 523, 602), Plaintiffs respectfully renew their request to depose Google CEO Sundar Pichai. As detailed below, the evidence here far exceeds all apex requirements, showing (1) Mr. Pichai has unique, superior, and personal knowledge about key issues in this case, including Google's perpetuation of known private browsing misconceptions, and (2) Plaintiffs exhausted less intrusive means of discovery for this information. Plaintiffs deposed over 20 former and current Google employees, including the recent deposition of Sabine Borsay, who wrote about critical decisions and statements by and to Mr. Pichai regarding Incognito. Google witnesses repeatedly testified that they had no recollection of what Mr. Pichai said, considered, or decided with respect to these key issues. Because of Mr. Pichai's extensive involvement, and Plaintiffs' inability to obtain relevant testimony from others, Plaintiffs respectfully request 3.5 hours for his deposition,¹ to take place at least 15 days before Plaintiffs' opposition to any summary judgment motion or start of trial, whichever comes first. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is the Declaration of Rossana Baeza with supporting documents, and attached as **Exhibit 2** is a proposed order.

Mr. Pichai's Unique, First-Hand & Superior Personal Knowledge & Involvement in Key Events & Decisions	Plaintiffs' Exhaustion of Less Intrusive Means to Obtain This Information Without Mr. Pichai's Deposition
<p>2015 [REDACTED] Regarding Incognito : A 2015 Google study called [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] Ex. A</p> <p>[REDACTED] <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added).²</p> <p>This document indicates Mr. Pichai had knowledge in 2015 about [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Plaintiffs sought production (e.g., RFPs 27 (Ex. B), 169 (Ex. C)) but were unable to identify any produced copy of the [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] Plaintiffs also deposed multiple lower-level Google employees about [REDACTED], but they were unable to provide information about [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. D, Borsay Tr. 205:13-17; Ex. E, Palmer Tr. 86:6-14; Ex. F, Felt Tr. 60:19-61:22.</p>

¹ With the exception of Ex. M, submitted documents reference Mr. Pichai either in the main text or in the metadata (showing Mr. Pichai received the document as part of one or more email distribution lists included in the emails). In Plaintiffs' last submission, Plaintiffs inadvertently did not include that metadata showing Mr. Pichai's involvement.

² All exhibit references in this submission are exhibits to the Declaration of Rossana Baeza.

1 **2016** [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED] In 2016 emails, Google
3 employee Sabine Borsay [REDACTED]

4 Ex. G. Ms. Borsay wrote [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED] *Id.*

6 A later email from Ms. Borsay to Google
7 employee Chris Palmer [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] Ex. H (emphasis added). Mr.

10 Palmer [REDACTED]

11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED] Ex. I.

13 Ms. Borsay also wrote that Mr. Pichai was the
14 one who [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED] Ex. J.

17 These emails establish that [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 **2019 Pichai & [REDACTED]: In**
23 **March 2019, Mr. Pichai** [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED] Ex. L.³
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]

Plaintiffs sought production (e.g., RFPs 33 (Ex. B), 169 (Ex. C)) but have been unable to identify any document revealing what discussions Google employees [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] None of the witnesses
Plaintiffs deposed were able to provide that information. Ex. E, Palmer Tr. 113:23-114:4 (testifying he [REDACTED]); Ex. F, Felt Tr. Felt Tr. 122:14-24 (testifying she [REDACTED])

[REDACTED] Ex. D, Borsay Tr. 258:17-260:23. Incredibly, Ms. Borsay even testified [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Id.*, Borsay Tr. 252:18-254:5, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Ex. K, *Calhoun* Borsay Tr. 53:12-14. Like the other witnesses, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Ex. D, Borsay Tr. 266:8-20, 262:3-11, 253:7-25 (testifying she [REDACTED])
[REDACTED]

Plaintiffs deposed lower-level employees about [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

27 ³ The [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED] Ex. M.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Ex. N, and</p> <p>Ex. O. These documents establish</p>	<p>Ex. P, McClelland Tr. 118:11-18</p> <p>), <i>id.</i> 120:8-10); <i>see also</i> Ex. Q, Mardini Tr. 317:12-319:18</p> <p>Mr. Palmer,</p> <p>Ex. E, Palmer Tr. 187:7-189:7</p> <p>During the <i>Calhoun</i> deposition of Chetna Bindra</p> <p>Ex. R, <i>Calhoun Bindra</i> Tr. 218:11-15.</p>
<p>2019 Pichai & In April 2019, Google employee AbdelKarim Mardini reported that</p> <p>Ex. S. Mr. Mardini</p> <p><i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). These documents establish that</p>	<p>Mr. Mardini testified he</p> <p>Ex. Q, Mardini Tr. 334:21-335:11, 336:13-20. Plaintiffs also questioned Ms. Twohill about this, and she testified</p> <p>Ex. T, Twohill Tr. 82:14-83:4.</p>
<p>2019 Pichai & Incognito : An April 2019</p> <p>Ex. U. This document shows</p>	<p>This document was produced from the files of Mr. Mardini, but he</p> <p>Ex. Q, Mardini Tr. 327:24-328:17. No deponents were able to provide any information regarding Mr. Pichai's</p>
<p>2019 Pichai & Incognito : In July 2019, Google employees discussed</p>	<p>Plaintiffs also questioned Mr. Halavati about this document, and</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Ex. V. This document shows first-hand, superior knowledge by Mr. Pichai about [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Ex. W, Halavati Tr. 99:11-100:2. Plaintiffs also questioned another Google employee who [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. X, Adhya Tr. 104:9-105:15.</p>
<p>2019 Pichai & Incognito [REDACTED] : An October 2019 [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. Y. This document establishes Mr. Pichai has first-hand, superior knowledge about [REDACTED]</p>	<p>No deponent has been able to provide any insight into Mr. Pichai's [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. Q, Mardini Tr. 371:5-373:5.</p>
<p>2021 Pichai & Incognito [REDACTED] In 2021, Ms. Twohill emailed Mr. Pichai [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. Z. [REDACTED]</p>	<p>During her deposition, Ms. Twohill [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. T, Twohill Tr. 120:5-21 (testifying she [REDACTED]</p> <p>131:17-19 (testifying she [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Ex. AA. In 2009, when Google employees discussed [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. BB. Mr. Pichai [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Plaintiffs deposed Brian Rakowski, who [REDACTED]</p> <p>Ex. DD, Rakowski Tr. 20:12-22:24. Mr. Rakowski— [REDACTED]</p>

1 [REDACTED]
Ex. CC.

[REDACTED] Ex. DD,
Rakowski Tr. 212:14-214:25.

3 The evidence here far exceeds what was deemed sufficient to depose CEOs in many other
4 cases. *See, e.g., Wonderland Nurserygoods Co. v. Baby Trend, Inc.*, 514CV1153JWHSPX, 2022
5 WL 1601402, at *3–4 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2022) (allowing 4-hour CEO deposition where party
6 “made a plausible showing” that CEO “may have unique knowledge” even though “efforts to use
7 less intrusive discovery methods could have been more thorough”); *In re Google Litig.*, No. C 08-
8 03172 RMW PSG, 2011 WL 4985279, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2011) (allowing deposition of
9 former Google CEO Larry Page for three hours where party had shown he had “unique knowledge
10 of facts that cannot be secured by other less intrusive means of discovery”); *Khan v. Boohoo.com*
11 *USA, Inc.*, CV2003332GWJEMX, 2021 WL 3882969, at *2–3 (C.D. Cal. July 28, 2021) (allowing
12 4-hour CEO deposition where emails showed CEO was “hands on” and had “direct involvement”
13 in relevant decisions); *Finisar Corp. v. Nistica, Inc.*, No. 13-CV-03345-BLF(JSC), 2015 WL
14 3988132, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (allowing CEO deposition where no other deponents
15 were “able to testify to the contents of [relevant] conversations”); *In re Apple iPhone Antitrust*
16 *Litig.*, No. 11CV06714YGRTSH, 2021 WL 485709, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2021) (allowing 7-
17 hour CEO deposition because as CEO, he had “unique and non-repetitive” knowledge of Apple’s
18 business model); *WebSideStory, Inc. v. NetRatings, Inc.*, No. 06CV408 WQH(AJB), 2007 WL
19 1120567, at *3, *5 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2007) (permitting deposition of CEO with “unique, relevant
20 knowledge” after party completed only one deposition, which “would aid in developing and
21 refining a line of questioning” for CEO deposition); *Opperman v. Path, Inc.*, No. 13-CV-00453-
22 JST, 2015 WL 5852962, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2015) (allowing CEO deposition where CEO
23 “personally participated in” and also “appears” he “may have been involved” in relevant
24 decisions). Google opposed the addition of more document custodians and depositions, and
25 Google at this point should not be allowed to argue that Plaintiffs should have deposed others.
26 Plaintiffs have done more than enough.

27 Dated: July 21, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Beko Reblitz-Richardson

Beko Reblitz-Richardson

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*)

333 Main Street

Armonk, NY 10504

Tel: (914) 749-8200

dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)

mmao@bsfllp.com

Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)

brichardson@bsfllp.com

Erika Nyborg-Burch (CA Bar No. 342125)

enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com

44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel.: (415) 293 6858

Fax: (415) 999 9695

James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)

jlee@bsfllp.com

Rossana Baeza (admitted *pro hac vice*)

rbaeza@bsfllp.com

100 SE 2nd Street, Suite 2800

Miami, FL 33131

Tel.: (305) 539-8400

Fax: (305) 539-1307

Alison L. Anderson (CA Bar No. 275334)

alanderson@bsfllp.com

725 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel.: (213) 629-9040

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

Bill Carmody (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Alexander Frawley (admitted *pro hac vice*)

1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor

New York, NY 10019

Tel.: (212) 336-8330

bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com

srabin@susmangodfrey.com

sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

afrawley@susmangodfrey.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 789-3100
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: (813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com

Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
711 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 358-6913
mram@forthepeople.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs